

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/960,396	09/24/2001	Stephen McCann	3036/50289	5628
7590 10/03/2006			EXAM	IINER
Crowell & Moring L.L.P.			WILLIAMS, JEFFERY L	
Intellectual Property Group P.O. Box 14300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20044-4300			2137	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/960,396	MCCANN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeffery Williams	2137			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/27/6	06.				
	action is non-final.	·			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-21</u> is/are pending in the ap	onlication				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-20</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9,11-15 and 21</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 August 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	d in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	·			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	d.			
·					
Attachment/c\					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Other:					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2137

Page 2

1	DETAILED ACTION
2	
3	This action is in response to the communication filed on 6/27/06.
4	All objections and rejections not set forth below have been withdrawn.
5	
6	Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114
7	
8	A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set
9	forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this
10	application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set
11	forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action
12	has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/27/06
13	has been entered.
14	
15	Election/Restrictions
16	
17	Newly submitted claims 16 – 20 are directed to an invention that is independent
18	or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:
19	
20	Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Art Unit: 2137

I. Claims 1 – 15, 21, drawn to a system and method of a user to employ a
 mobile device, PIN, and cellular mobile account for accessing a visiting W LAN, classified in class 713, subclass 150.

II. Claims 16 – 20, drawn to a method of establishing a W-LAN account for a user and billing the W-LAN account so as to enable a user to employ a PIN for accessing a W-LAN, classified in class 726, subclass 17 and class 705, subclass 30.

Page 3

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as it creates and bills W-LAN accounts so a user with a PIN can access a network. Subcombination I has separate utility such as a user utilizes a browser, computing device, and mobile phone and mobile cellular account for accessing access a network. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

The examiner has required restriction between subcombinations usable together. Where applicant elects a subcombination and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a

Art Unit: 2137

t Offit. 2137

Page 4

2 provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of

claim that is allowable in the present application, such claim may be subject to

the instant application.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 16 – 20 are withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2137

Claims 1 – 9, 11 – 15, and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rai et al. (Rai), "Registration Scheme for Network", U.S. Patent 6,675,208 in view of Turunen, "Mobile Internet Access", U.S. Patent 6,477,644 in view of Prins, "Authentication System", Dutch Patent 1007409.

Regarding claim 1, Rai discloses a user requesting visiting access to the first W-LAN (Rai, fig. 3). Rai discloses the user having a portable computing device and a registration with a second W-LAN operator that administers a home authentication, authorization and accounting (HAAA) server (Rai, fig. 3:60, 72). While Rai describes his mobile access system with the term PCS as opposed to cellular, the examiner points that those of ordinary skill in the art recognize the PCS band as "cellular" (see evidence – Soliman [par. 75]; Antonio et al. [par. 4]; Cheng et al. [1:15-29]). Rai thus discloses a valid cellular mobile account (Rai, 3:5-15; 6:32-39). Rai does not mention that the portable computing device [used to access and communicate data over the network] has a browser, however, the examiner notes that it was well known in the art for portable communication devices to utilize browsers facilitating data communication (for exemplary evidence, see Hansen et al., "Accessing a Server Computer Connected to a Wireless Communication Network", UK Patent Publication, 2 342 816: 1:9-13; 7:29-8:2).

Rai discloses a modem for communicating data within the wireless network. Rai does not disclose a mobile telephone for communicating data within the wireless network.

Art Unit: 2137

However, the examiner points out that it was well known in the art to utilize mobile telephones as modems to communicate data within a wireless network. Similar to Rai, Turunen discloses a mobile access system. Turunen discloses that those of ordinary skill in the art employ mobile telephones in cooperation with a portable computing device for data communication (Turunen, 1:36-49).

Page 6

It would have been obvious employ a mobile telephone as taught by Turunen as the data communication device within the mobile access system of Rai. This would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to utilize established methods in the art and by benefits of versatility (i.e. a user could employ devices that would allow voice communication as well as data communication).

Furthermore, the combination of Rai and Turunen discloses - conveys to the VAAA server, by user intervention, identity information sufficient to enable said VAAA server to communicate with said HAAA server so as to authenticate the proposed connection (Rai, 8:45-47; 9:21-26).

The combination of Rai and Turunen discloses users who employ portable devices to register with a foreign network and to access wireless services (i.e. internet) (Rai, fig. 3). The combination discloses that before such access can be granted the user must be authenticated, such as by using a password ("PIN") supplied by the user, and the combination discloses that the user's HAAA server controls the authentication of the user (Rai, fig. 14, "PPP Authentication" "PAP"). The combination, however, does not disclose how a user desiring such access would acquire the necessary

Art Unit: 2137

Page 7

password/PIN for purposes of satisfying the authentication requirements of the HAAA
 server.

In a similar endeavor, Prins discloses a method for enabling a user to employ a portable computing device and mobile telephone to access network services (Prins, fig. 1). Particularly, Prins focuses on the method for providing users with the necessary PIN for authentication to a service providing network. Prins discloses that after a user establishes a connection to a network (Prins, 3:18-20), the user is provided with a PIN/password in the form of an SMS message to the user's personal communication device (Prins, 4:5-8) via a secure link [i.e. data encoding as in GSM or VPN] (Prins, 5:1-7; 6:3-7).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to employ the secure PIN provisioning methods of Prins within the HAAA server of Rai and Turunen. This would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the need to provide a user with an authentication PIN and by the teachings of Prins, wherein this method of PIN provisioning features increased security (Prins, 2:7-11; 18-21).

The combination of Rai, Turunen, and Prins discloses the cost of such access being billed to the user's cellular mobile account and the requested access being achieved via the user's browser (Ria, fig. 3:60; 3:5-15; 6:32-39).

Regarding claim 2 the combination of Rai, Turunen, and Prins discloses:

Art Unit: 2137

Page 8

wherein the transfer of the PIN to the browser is effected manually by the user (Prins, 1 6:8-14). 2 3 Regarding claim 3 the combination of Rai, Turunen, and Prins discloses: 4 5 wherein the portable computing device is coupled to the mobile telephone and 6 the transfer of the PIN to the browser is effected automatically by means including 7 software supported by the portable computing device (Prins, 6:8-14). 8 Regarding claim 4 the combination of Rai, Turunen, and Prins discloses: 9 10 wherein the PIN issued by the HAAA is encoded and forwarded to the user's 11 mobile telephone by means of a short message service centre (Prins, 4:5-7). 12 13 Regarding claim 5 the combination of Rai, Turunen, and Prins discloses: 14 wherein the user employs the browser to convey said identity information, via the first W-LAN, to the VAAA (Rai, fig. 1:60,62,70; fig. 14). 15 16 17 Regarding claim 6 the combination of Rai, Turunen, and Prins discloses: wherein the PIN is combined with masking information (Rai, 23:48-51; Prins, 5:1-7; 6:3-18 19 7). 20

Art Unit: 2137

Regarding claim 7, the combination of Rai, Turunen, and Prins is silent regarding a random derivation of masking information. However, the examiner points out that it is well known in the art of encryption to employ random elements for purpose of security.

Page 9

Regarding claim 8, the combination of Rai, Turunen, and Prins discloses: wherein the user calls the VAAA on the mobile telephone (Rai, fig. 1:2,4; fig. 14; Turunen, 1:36-40). The mobile user communicates with the FA through a mobile telephone.

Regarding claim 9, the combination of Rai, Turunen, and Prins discloses: wherein the telephone call from said user is routed to the HAAA through a premium rate call unit (Rai, 1:62-67; 5:31-39; 6:32-39).

Regarding claim 11, it is a method claim corresponding to the system of claim 1, and it is rejected, at least, for the same reasons. Furthermore, the combination of Rai, Turunen, and Prins discloses that the request includes *identity information of a home authentication, authorization and accounting server* (Rai, 8:45-47; 9:21-26).

Regarding claims 12 – 15 and 21, they are rejected, at least, for the same reasons as the above claims. Furthermore, the combination of Rai, Turunen, and Prins discloses a wireless link and that the transfer of the PIN is effected automatically via a wireless link (Ria, 5:56-65; Prins, 6:8-14).

Application/Control Number: 09/960,396 Page 10

Art Unit: 2137

## 1 Response to Arguments 2 3 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 – 9, 11 – 15, and 21 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. 4 5 Conclusion 6 7 8 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to 9 applicant's disclosure: 10 See Notice of References Cited 11 12 A shortened statutory period for reply is set to expire 3 months (not less than 90 13 days) from the mailing date of this communication. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 14 examiner should be directed to Jeffery Williams whose telephone number is (571) 272-15 16 7965. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00. 17 If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone 18 19 number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 20 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2137

1 Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Page 11

- 2 Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for
- 3 published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.
- 4 Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.
- 5 For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should
- 6 you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic
- 7 Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

8

9

10 J. Williams

11 AU: 2137

W

EMMANUELL. MOISE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER